REMARKS

This is a response to the Restriction Requirement issued on June 28, 2005 in

connection with the above-identified patent application. In the Restriction Requirement, the

Examiner requires Applicant to elect between seven different patentably distinct Inventions. In

response, Applicant elects Invention II, which corresponds to claims 31-39, which are directed to

methods for producing a firearm cartridge. In view of this election, Applicant has cancelled the

remaining ones of the original claims, namely, claims 1-30 and 40-50, without prejudice as being

directed to non-elected inventions. In the above amendments, Applicant also has amended claim

36 to correct an antecedent basis error and added new claims 51-64. The new claims depend

from the elected claims and therefore are believed to be within the scope of the elected

Invention.

In view of the above, Applicant submits that all of the issues raised in the

Restriction Requirement have been addressed. If there are any remaining issues or if the

Examiner has any questions, Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached at the number

listed below. Similarly, if the Examiner believes that a telephone interview may be productive in

advancing prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's

undersigned attorney at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

David S. D'Ascenzo

Registration No. 39,952

PTO Customer No. 23581

Kolisch Hartwell, P.C.

520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200

Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 224-6655

Facsimile: (503) 295-6679

Page 6 - AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT; Serial No. 10/698,827